Ryan Lee (SBN: 235879) rice@consumerlawcenter.com Matthew A. Rosenthal (SBN 279334) mrosenthal@consumerlawcenter.com Krohn & Moss, Ltd. 10474 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 405 Los Angeles, CA 90025 Tel: (323) 988-2400 Fax: (866) 861-1390 CRISTA WHITE IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA EASTERN DIVISION CRISTA WHITE, Plaintiff, V. (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC., Defendant. CRISTA WHITE (Plaintiff), by her attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., alleges the following against MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC. (Defendant): INTRODUCTION COUNT I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (FDCPA). Count II of the Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1788 et seq. (RFDCPA).	Case 5:14-cv-00704-VAP-DTB Document	t 1 Filed 04/10/14 Page 1 of 11 Page ID #:17
rlee@consumerlawcenter.com Matthew A. Rosenthal (SBN 279334) mrosenthal@consumerlawcenter.com Krohn & Moss, Ltd. 10474 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 405 Los Angeles, CA 90025 Tel: (323) 988-2400 Fax: (866) 861-1390 CRISTA WHITE IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA EASTERN DIVISION CRISTA WHITE, Plaintiff, V. (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC., Defendant. CRISTA WHITE (Plaintiff), by her attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., alleges the following against MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC. (Defendant): INTRODUCTION 1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection 2014 APR 10 PM 2: 4,5 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL BIST. OF CALIF. RIVERSIDE CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL BIST. OF CALIF. RIVERSIDE COURT COU		FILED
CRISTA WHITE, Plaintiff, V. CRISTA WHITE (Plaintiff), by her attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., alleges the following against MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC. (Defendant): INTRODUCTION 1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (FDCPA). 2. Count II of the Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection	rlee@consumerlawcenter.com Matthew A. Rosenthal (SBN 279334) mrosenthal@consumerlawcenter.com Krohn & Moss, Ltd. 10474 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite 405 Los Angeles, CA 90025 Tel: (323) 988-2400 Fax: (866) 861-1390	
COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR Plaintiff, JURY TRIAL V. (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC., Defendant. CRISTA WHITE (Plaintiff), by her attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., alleges the following against MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC. (Defendant): INTRODUCTION 1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (FDCPA). 2. Count II of the Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection	FOR THE CENTRAL I	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
Plaintiff, V. (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC., Defendant. CRISTA WHITE (Plaintiff), by her attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., alleges the following against MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC. (Defendant): INTRODUCTION 1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (FDCPA). 2. Count II of the Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection	CRISTA WHITE,	CV14-00704 VAP
MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC., Defendant. CRISTA WHITE (Plaintiff), by her attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., alleges the following against MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC. (Defendant): INTRODUCTION 1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (FDCPA). 2. Count II of the Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection	Plaintiff,	
Defendant. Defendant. CRISTA WHITE (Plaintiff), by her attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., alleges the following against MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC. (Defendant): INTRODUCTION 1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (FDCPA). 2. Count II of the Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection	v.	(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)
CRISTA WHITE (Plaintiff), by her attorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., alleges the following against MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC. (Defendant): INTRODUCTION 1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (FDCPA). 2. Count II of the Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection		
following against MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC. (Defendant): INTRODUCTION 1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (FDCPA). 2. Count II of the Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection	Defendant.)) —
 Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (FDCPA). Count II of the Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection 	CRISTA WHITE (Plaintiff), by her at	ttorneys, KROHN & MOSS, LTD., alleges the
 Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (FDCPA). Count II of the Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection 	following against MIDLAND CREDIT MAN	NAGEMENT, INC. (Defendant):
U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (FDCPA). 2. Count II of the Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection	INTRO	ODUCTION
2. Count II of the Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection	1. Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint is b	ased on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15
	U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (FDCPA).	
Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1788 et seq. (RFDCPA).	2. Count II of the Plaintiff's Compla	int is based on Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection
	Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1788	et seq. (RFDCPA).
· ·		

PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

3. Count III of the Plaintiff's Complaint is based on Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 28 U.S.C. § 227 et seq. (TCPA).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Jurisdiction of this Court over Count I and II of Plaintiff's Complaint arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 grants this court supplemental jurisdiction over the state claims contained therein.
- 5. Jurisdiction of this Court over Count III of this Complaint arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 6. Defendant conducts business and is principally located in the State of California thereby establishing personal jurisdiction.
- 7. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in San Jacinto, Riverside County, California.
- 9. Plaintiff is a consumer as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 10. Plaintiff allegedly owes a debt as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(h).
- 11. Defendant is a business entity with an office located at 3111 Camino Del Rio North, Suite 1300, San Diego, California 92108.
- 12. Defendant is a debt collector as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(c), and sought to collect a consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 13. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 14. Defendant constantly and continuously places collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and demanding payment for an alleged debt, allegedly on a t-mobile cell phone account.
- 15. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt arises from transactions made on an account which was used for personal, family and household purposes.
- 16. Defendant places collection calls from telephone numbers, including, but not limited to, 877-237-0512.
- 17. Defendant places collection calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone at phone number 951-665-25XX.
- 18. On or about January 6, 2014, Defendant began placing collection calls to Plaintiff on her cellular telephone.
- 19. Per its prior business practices, each of these collection calls were placed using an automatic telephone dialing system.
- 20. Plaintiff never consented, either explicitly or implicitly, to receive calls from Defendant to her cellular telephone.
- 21. Defendant places anywhere from one (1) to seventeen (17) calls to Plaintiff on a daily basis.
- 22. In the course of a telephone conversation on or about January 27, 2014, Plaintiff requested that Defendant cease placing collection calls to her cell phone.
- 23. Plaintiff revoked any consent, explicit, implied, or otherwise, to call her cellular telephone and/or receive Defendant's calls using an automatic dialing system during the telephone call on January 27, 2014.
- 24. Despite Plaintiff's request to cease, Defendant placed at least eleven (11) automated collection calls to Plaintiff.
- 25. Specifically, Defendant called Plaintiff as follows:

- January 29, 2014: two (2) calls at 8:40 a.m. and 9:59 a.m.
- January 30, 2014: one (1) call at 9:51 a.m.
- February 1, 2014: one (1) call at 1:20 p.m.
- February 2, 2014: four (4) calls at 9:54 a.m., 1:29 p.m., 4:15 p.m. and 8:25 p.m.
- February 3, 2014: two (2) calls at 9:37 a.m. and 8:52 p.m.
- February 5, 2015: one (1) call at 10:58 a.m.

COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 26. Defendant violated the FDCPA based on the following:
 - a. Defendant violated § 1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, abuse, and oppress the Plaintiff.
 - b. Defendant violated § 1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly and continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, and harass Plaintiff.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, CRISTA WHITE, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC., for the following:

- 27. Statutory damages of \$1000.00 pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k,
- 28. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k,
- 29. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

COUNT II DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

30. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all of the allegations in Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint as

the allegations in Count II of Plaintiff's Complaint.

- 31. Defendant violated the RFDCPA based on the following:
 - a. Defendant violated the §1788.11(d) of the RFDCPA by causing Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously to annoy Plaintiff.
 - b. Defendant violated the §1788.17 of the RFDCPA by continuously failing to comply with the statutory regulations contained within the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. to wit: Section 1692d.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, CRISTA WHITE, respectfully requests judgment be entered against Defendant, MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC., for the following:

- 32. Statutory damages of \$1000.00 pursuant to the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code §1788.30(b),
- 33. Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal. Civ Code § 1788.30(c), and
- 34. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate.

COUNT III DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 35. Defendant's actions alleged supra constitute numerous negligent violations of the TCPA, entitling Plaintiff to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each and every violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 36. Defendant's actions alleged supra constitute numerous and multiple knowing and/or willful violates of the TCPA, entitling Plaintiff to an award of \$1500.00 in statutory damages for each and every violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendant for the following:

37. Statutory damages of \$500.00 for each and every negligent violation of the TCPA 1 pursuant to 47 U.S.C. \S (b)(3)(B); 2 38. Statutory damages of \$1500.00 for each and every knowing and/or willful violation of 3 the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § (b)(3)(b) and 47 U.S.C. § (b)(3)(C); 4 39. All court costs, witness fees and other fees incurred; and 5 40. Any other relief that this Honorable Court deems appropriate. 6 7 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED, 8 KROHN & MOSS, LTD. 9 DATED: April 8, 2014 10 By: /s/ 11 Ryan Lee Attorney for Plaintiff 12 13 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 14 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, CRISTA WHITE, demands a jury trial in this 15 case. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 2 VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATION 3 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 4 Plaintiff, CRISTA WHITE, states as follows: 5 I am the Plaintiff in this civil proceeding. 2. I have read the above-entitled civil Complaint prepared by my attorneys and I believe that all of the facts contained in it are true, to the best of my knowledge, information 6 and belief formed after reasonable inquiry. 3. I believe that this civil Complaint is well grounded in fact and warranted by existing law 7 or by a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing 8 4. I believe that this civil Complaint is not interposed for any improper purpose, such 9 as to harass any Defendant(s), cause unnecessary delay to any Defendant(s), or create a needless increase in the cost of litigation to any Defendant(s), named in the 10 Complaint. 5. I have filed this Complaint in good faith and solely for the purposes set forth in it. Each and every exhibit I have provided to my attorneys which has been attached to this 11 Complaint is a true and correct copy of the original. 12 7. Except for clearly indicated redactions made by my attorneys where appropriate, I have not altered, changed, modified or fabricated these exhibits, except that some of the 13 attached exhibits may contain some of my own handwritten notations. 14 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2), I, CRISTA WHATE, hereby declare (or certify, verify or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct 15 16 17 18 19 20

21

22

23

24

25

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES JUDGES

This case has been assigned	to District Judge	Virginia A. Phillips	and to			
Magistrate Judge	David T. Bristow					
The case number on all doc	cuments filed with the Court	t should read as follows:				
EDCV14-00704 VAP (DTBx)						
California, the assigned Ma	igistrate Judge has been desi	District Court for the Central I gnated to hear discovery-relate ced on the calendar of the Mag	ed			
		Clerk, U. S. District Court				
April 10, 2014 Date		By L. Murray Deputy Clerk				

ATTENTION

A copy of this Notice must be served on all parties served with the Summons and Complaint (or, in cases removed from state court, on all parties served with the Notice of Removal) by the party who filed the Complaint (or Notice of Removal).

Case 5:14-cv-00704-VAP-DTB Document 1 Filed 04/10/14 Page 9 of 11 Page ID #:25 UNITED STA* DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAPTE OR NIA

			CIVIL	COVER SHEET				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS (Che	ck box if you are repre	senting yourself ()		DEFENDANTS	(Check box if you are rep	oresenting yourself [])		
CRISTA WHITE				MIDLAND CREDIT MA	MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT, INC			
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, are representing yourself, Krohn & Moss, Ltd.; Ryan Lee,	provide same informa	tion.)	geles,	(b) Attorneys (Firm are representing yo	Name, Address and Telep ourself, provide same infor	hone Number. If you mation.)		
CA 90025 (323) 988-2400				Territorial desiration of the Control of the Contro				
II. BASIS OF JURISDICT	「ION (Place an X in or	ne box only.)			INCIPAL PARTIES-For D			
1. U.S. Government	3. Federal Q∟	estion (U.S.		of This State	F DEF Incorporated or	Principal Place PTF DEF		
Plaintiff	Government	Not a Party)			of Business in th	nis State		
] 2 2 Incorporated ar of Business in A	nd Principal Place 5 5 5 5		
2. U.S. Government Defendant	4. Diversity (I of Parties in I			or Subject of a	3 G 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X i	n one box only.)				6	Multi-		
		3. Remanded from Appellate Court	ŧ	£ 1	nsferred from Another	District		
Proceeding □ S	tate Court LJ	Appenate Court	– nec	opened — ou	Li	tigation		
V. REQUESTED IN COM	IPLAINT: JURY DE	MAND: X Yes	No	(Check "Yes" or	nly if demanded in comp	olaint.)		
CLASS ACTION under		es ⊠No	 [MONEY DEMA	NDED IN COMPLAINT:	\$		
		1	ina and			ctional statutes unless diversity.)		
15 USC 1692 et seq.; Unlawfu	and Abusive Debt Colle	ection Practices			·	•		
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one bo	x only).						
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	REAL PROPERTY CON	T.	IMMIGRATION	PRISONER PETITIONS	PROPERTY RIGHTS		
375 False Claims Act	110 insurance	240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product		462 Naturalization Application	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	820 Copyrights		
A00 State Reapportionment	120 Marine	Liability		465 Other	510 Motions to Vacate	830 Patent 840 Trademark		
410 Antitrust	130 Miller Act.	290 All Other Real Property		Immigration Actions TORIS	Sentence 530 General	SOCIAL SECURITY		
430 Banks and Banking	140 Negotiable Instrument	TORTS		RSONAL PROPERTY	535 Death Penalty	861 HIA (1395ff)		
Tates/Etc.	150 Recovery of	PERSONAL PROPERTY		370 Other Fraud	Other:	862 Black Lung (923)		
460 Deportation	Overpayment & Enforcement of	310 Airplane 315 Airplane		371 Truth in Lending	540 Mandamus/Other	863 DIWC/DIWW (405 (g))		
470 Racketeer Influ-	Judgment	Product Liability		380 Other Personal	550 Civil Rights	864 SSID Title XVI		
enced & Corrupt Org.	151 Medicare Act	320 Assault, Libel & Slander		Property Damage 385 Property Damage	555 Prison Condition	865 RSI (405 (g))		
× 480 Consumer Credit	152 Recovery of Defaulted Student	330 Fed. Employers Liability		Product Liability	560 Civil Detainee Conditions of	FEDERAL TAX SUITS		
490 Cable/Sat TV	Loan (Excl. Vet.)	340 Marine		BANKRUPTCY	Confinement FORFEITURE/PENALTY	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or		
850 Securities/Com- modities/Exchange	153 Recovery of Overpayment of	345 Marine Product	t 🗀	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	625 Drug Related	Defendant) 871 IRS-Third Party 26 USC		
890 Other Statutory	Vet. Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle		423 Withdrawal 28	Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	7609		
Actions 891 Agricultural Acts	160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle		USC 157 CIVIL RIGHTS	690 Other			
893 Environmental	190 Other	Product Liability	m	440 Other Civil Rights	LABOR			
L Matters	Contract	360 Other Personal Injury		441 Voting	710 Fair Labor Standards			
895 Freedom of Info.	195 Contract Product Liability	362 Personal Injury Med Malpratice		442 Employment	720 Labor/Mgmt.			
896 Arbitration	196 Franchise	365 Personal Injury		443 Housing/ Accomodations	Relations			
899 Admin, Procedures	REAL PROPERTY	☐ Product Liability 367 Health Care/		445 American with	740 Railway Labor Act			
Act/Review of Appeal of	210 Land	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury		Disabilities- Employment	751 Family and Medical Leave Act	***************************************		
Agency Decision	Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	Product Liability		446 American with	790 Other Labor Litigation			
950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	230 Rent Lease &	368 Asbestos Personal Injury		Disabilities-Other 448 Education	791 Employee Ret. Inc.			
- Jidie Jidiaie]□ Ejectment	Product Liability	1		Security Act			
•			-		Magaritan da	140		

CIVIL COVER SHEET

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:

CV-71 (09/13)

Page 1 of 3

UNITED STATES L.STRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT O. LALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII. VENUE: Your answers to the questions below will determine the division of the Court to which this case will most likely be initially assigned. This initial assignment is subject to change, in accordance with the Court's General Orders, upon review by the Court of your Complaint or Notice of Removal.

Question A: Was this case removed from	STATE CASEWAS PE	NDING IN THE COU	NTY OE:	INITIAL DIVISION IN C	ACD IS:	
state court?	STATE CASE VICE THE MINISTER AND THE COURT OF			Western		
Yes 🗷 No	Los Angeles					
If "no," go to Question B. If "yes," check the box to the right that applies, enter the	Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San		Western			
corresponding division in response to Question D, below, and skip to Section IX.	Orange			Southern		
Question D, below, and skip to section in.	Riverside or San Bernardino		Eastern			
Question B: Is the United States, or one of	If the United States or or	ne of its agencies or	employees, is a party, is it:			
its agencies or employees, a party to this action?				INI	INITIAL	
☐ Yes 🔀 No	A PLAINTIFE?		A DEFENDANT?	CAC	DIVISION IN CACD IS:	
	Then check the box below for the co- which the majority of DEFENDANT.	CANADA CANADA CANADA PARA PARA PARA PARA PARA PARA PARA P	check the box below for the c ich the majority of PLAINTIFFS			
if "no, " go to Question C. If "yes," check the	Los Angeles		Angeles	Wes	tern	
box to the right that applies, enter the corresponding division in response to	Ventura, Santa Barbara, or San Obispo	11 1	itura, Santa Barbara, or San ispo	Luis Wes	Western	
Question D, below, and skip to Section IX.	Orange	Ora	inge	Sout	hern	
	Riverside or San Bernardino	Rive	erside or San Bernardino	East	Eastern	
	Other	☐ Oth	er	Wes	Western	
	S. B.	C	D _i	E	F,	
	ngeles Ventura, Santa Barbara, or Inty San Luis Obispo Counties	Orange County	Riverside or San Bernardino Counties	Outside the Central District of California	Other	
Indicate the location in which a	—		×			
majority of plaintiffs reside:					<u> </u>	
majority of defendants reside:						
majority of claims arose:						
	in periodical and the participation of the beautiful participation of the periodical participa					
C.1. Is either of the following true? If so, o	heck the one that applies:	C.2. Is either of	the following true? If so	, check the one that applies	:	
2 or more answers in Column C	•	2 or m	nore answers in Column D			
only 1 answer in Column C and no answers in Column D		only 1 answer in Column D and no answers in Column C				
Your case will initially be	assigned to the		Your case will initially			
SOUTHERN DIVISION. Enter "Southern" in response to Question D, below.		EASTERN DIVISION. Enter "Eastern" in response to Question D, below.				
If none applies, answer question C2 to the right.			If none applies, go to the box below.			
		nitially be assigned	to the	······································		
	WES Enter "Western" in r	TERN DIVISION. esponse to Questic	on D below.			
Question D: Initial Division?			INITIAL DIVIS	ION IN CACD		
Enter the initial division determined by Ques	tion A, B, or C above:		r.v.	TEDNI		
,			EAS	TERN		

CV-71 (09/13) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 3

UNITED STALES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTR. ... OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

IX(a). IDENTICAL CAS	SES: Has this act	ion been previously filed in this court and dismissed, remanded or closed?	⊠ NO	☐ YES
If yes, list case numi	ber(s):			·····
IX(b). RELATED CASE	S: Have any case	es been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case?	X NO	YES
If yes, list case numl	ber(s):			
Civil cases are deemed I	related if a previo	usly filed case and the present case:		
(Check all boxes that app	oly) A. Arise f	rom the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or		
	B. Call fo	r determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact	; or	
	C. For oth	ner reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; o	r	
	D. Involv	e the same patent, trademark or copyright <u>, and</u> one of the factors identified above in a	, b or c also is pres	sent.
X. SIGNATURE OF AT (OR SELF-REPRESENT		: DATE:	4/8/2014	
other papers as required by	law. This form, app	Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplemen proved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required prose of statistics, venue and initiating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instruc	oursuant to Local	Rule 3-1 is not filed
Key to Statistical codes relat	ting to Social Securi	ty Cases:		
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of Cause of Action		
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Socia include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))		
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Hea 923)	ılth and Safety Act	t of 1969. (30 U.S.C.
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of th all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))	e Social Security A	\ct, as amended; plus
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under amended. (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))	Title 2 of the Socia	₃l Security Act, as
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed un amended.	der Title 16 of the	Social Security Act, as
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Se (42 U.S.C. 405 (g))	ecurity Act, as ame	ended.

CV-71 (09/13) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 3 of 3